

# Appendix A

# **Flood Hazard and Risk Assessment**

Greater Vancouver • Okanagan • Vancouver Island • Calgary

kwl.ca



### **Technical Memorandum**

Vancouver Island 201 - 3045 Douglas Street Victoria, BC V8T 4N2 T 250 595 4223 F 250 595 4224

### FINAL

**DATE:** June 29, 2016

- TO: Helen Lockhart, P.Eng., City of Colwood
- **FROM:** Eric Morris, P.Eng.
- RE: OCEAN BOULEVARD PUMP STATION PROTECTION PLAN Flood Hazard and Risk Assessment Our File 2417.006-300

### 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

The Ocean Boulevard Sewage Pump Station is owned and operated by the City of Colwood (the City) and is located at the southern end of the Coburg Peninsula which separates Esquimalt Lagoon from the Strait of Juan de Fuca (Figure 1-1, attached). The station is located directly adjacent to the beach (Figures 1-2 and 1-3) and the pump station site has reportedly been splashed with seawater during extreme storm events. Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL) has been retained by the City to assess the risk of flooding at the station and develop a protection plan.

This memorandum provides a summary of our flood hazard and risk assessment of the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station. The flood hazard and risk assessment provides the estimated probability of flooding from the sea due to tides, storms, sea level rise and tsunami. Potential flooding from overland sources (e.g. rainfall and creeks) is not considered in the analysis.



Figure 1-2: Ocean Boulevard Pump Station, wet well in foreground



Figure 1-3: Pump Station as seen from the sea

Greater Vancouver • Okanagan • Vancouver Island • Calgary • Kootenays





### 1.2 Glossary and Abbreviations

Astronomical Tide	=	tide caused by forces of the sun and the moon
CD	=	Chart Datum, roughly equal to lowest tide
CGVD	=	Canadian Geodetic Vertical Datum, roughly equal to mean sea level
Diffraction	=	change in wave direction when waves encounter an obstacle
FCL	=	Flood Construction Level for a building. Underside of wooden floor system or top of concrete slab must be above the FCL.
Hindcast	=	use of historical data to calculate the value of another unmeasured historical parameter
H <sub>s</sub>	=	Significant Wave Height, average height of the highest 1/3 of the waves in a sea state
H <sub>max</sub>	=	Maximum Wave Height
НАТ	=	Highest Astronomical Tide, the highest astronomical tide over the 18.6 year tidal cycle
HHWLT	=	Higher High Water, Large Tide, the average of the highest annual tides over the 18.6 year tidal cycle
Lo	=	Deep Water Wave Length
LLWLT	=	Lower Low Water, Large Tide, the average of the lowest annual tides over the 18.6 year tidal cycle
MHWMT	=	Mean High Water, Mean Tide
MLWMT	=	Mean Low Water, Mean Tide
MWL	=	Mean Water Level
Refraction	=	change in wave direction in water of varying depth due to change in wavelength
Return Period	=	an estimate of the interval of time between events of a certain intensity or size
Shear (Land/Water)	=	wind speeds close to sea/ground level are slowed due to drag; the amount of slowing is different for land and water, therefore a correction factor must be applied to wind speeds measured on land when calculating wind speeds over water
Shoaling	=	Change of wave height in shallow water due to water depth
Storm Surge	=	increase in water level caused by low atmospheric pressure and winds
T <sub>p</sub>	=	Peak Wave Period, the period of the peak of the wave spectrum
Wave Setup	=	increase in mean water level in the breaking wave zone
Wind Shear	=	Transfer of energy between the wind and water

1		٦
	2222	
	<b>NUU</b>	
Ľ		
		•

### **1.3 References**

The following references have been used in our risk assessment:

- 1. AECOM, "Modeling of Potential Tsunami Inundation Limits and Run-Up", for the Capital Regional District, June 2013.
- 2. Ausenco Sandwell, "Climate Change Adaptation Guidelines for Sea Dikes and Coastal Flood Hazard Land Use Draft Policy Discussion Paper", January 2011.
- 3. BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations, Flood Hazard Area Land Use Management Guidelines, Draft Amendment to Sections 3.5 and 3.6- The Sea, May 2013.
- 4. CIRIA, "The Rock Manual- Use of Rock in Hydraulic Engineering", 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, 2007.
- 5. Coastal Engineering Research Center (CERC), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, "Shore Protection Manual", 1984;
- 6. Eurotop, "Wave Overtopping of Sea Defences and Related Structures: Assessment Manual", January 2007.
- 7. Mase, Hajime and Iwagaki, Yuichi, "Run-Up of Random Waves on Gentle Slopes", Coastal Engineering, 1984, Chapter 40, pp 593-609.
- 8. Papronty, Dominic, et al. "Application of Empirical Wave Run-Up Formulas to the Polish Baltic Sea Coast", PLOS One, August 2014, Volume 9, Issue 8.
- 9. U.S. Federal Emergency Management Agency, "Wave Run-up and Overtopping, FEMA Coastal Flood Hazard Analysis Mapping Guidelines Focused Study Report", 2005.
- 10. U.S. Geological Survey, "Turbidite Event History Methods and Implications for Holocene Paleoseismicity of the Cascadia Subduction Zone", 2012.

### 2. Pump Station Description

The Ocean Boulevard Pump Station was constructed in 2000; drawings of the station are provided in Appendix A and a cross section through the station site is provided in Figure 2-1, attached. The station pumps raw sewage from a catchment area including approximately 200 dwellings. The station includes a masonry block building which houses electrical equipment, a standby diesel generator set and public washrooms and a wet well which houses two submersible sewage pumps. There is a small kiosk housing a ventilation fan and odour control equipment located adjacent to the wet well.

The pump station is situated directly west of the beach. The station floor elevation is 2.80 m CGVD and the top of slab elevation of the wet well is 2.45 m CGVD. If flooding reaches the 2.80 m CGVD elevation, it is possible that the pump station electrical systems will be damaged and rendered inoperable and the pump station structure and architectural finishes will receive some damage. In addition, access to the station under this flooding scenario would be unsafe. At the 2.45 m CGVD flooding elevation, seawater can enter the wet well, potentially causing an overflow, the ventilation and odour control kiosk can be damaged and access to the station will be difficult due to standing water and debris.

A lock-block and riprap berm has been constructed on the seaward side of the station; the elevation of the top of the berm is estimated as 3.3 m CGVD. The berm is 25 m long and extends roughly the length of the station (wetwell and building). Key station data are provided in Table 2-1.



Parameter	Value
Catchment Area	Area sloping down to the shoreline east of Metchosin Road, south of the 205 Portsmouth Dr. Pump Station and north of the 293 Perimeter Pl. Pump Station, 36.7 ha, approximate population of 534 (2011) with 200 dwellings in catchment area
Peak Winter Station	11.7 L/s existing/28.1 L/s projected future <sup>1</sup>
Inflow	
Firm Pumping Capacity	87.8 L/s (1 of 2 pumps running)
Note: 1. Based on the City's S	ewer Master Plan, completed by Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. in 2013.

#### Table 2-1: Key Data for the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station

Given that the pump station was constructed in 2000, there are no expected deficiencies in its pumping capacity and facilities of this type typically last about 65 years, one can expect that the pump station will require replacement in approximately 2065. It should be noted that the service life is for the structural components and that electrical and mechanical systems will require replacement before this date.

### 3. Flood Hazard Assessment

### 3.1 Overview

The objective of the flood hazard assessment is to provide the estimated probability that the station will be flooded by seawater to the year 2100. Two different flooding probabilities have been calculated for various time horizons:

- 1. The probability of being flooded by "blue water"- i.e. the station elevation is less than or equal to the sea level; and
- 2. The probability of being flooded by waves- i.e. the station is above the sea level but is transiently flooded by waves or "white water" during storms and tsunamis.

The water level and elevation components included in each scenario are summarized in Table 3-1. The development of the various water level components are provided in the following sections.

Scer	nario	Water Level and Elevation Components Included		
"Blue Water" Flooding		Astronomical Tides Storm Surge Ground Uplift Sea Level Rise		
Ways Flooding	Storm	Astronomical Tides Storm Surge Ground Uplift Sea Level Rise Wave Effect		
wave Flooding	Tsunami	Astronomical Tides Storm Surge Ground Uplift Minus Expected Subsidence in Earthquake Sea Level Rise Earthquake Generated Tsunami		

#### Table 3-1: Water Level and Elevation Components



It should be noted that a freeboard is customarily included in the water level when estimating a Flood Construction Level (FCL). The intent of the freeboard is to provide an additional measure of safety against flooding to account for uncertainties in the estimated high sea level and wave run-up components. A freeboard <u>has not</u> been included in this flood hazard assessment because the objective of the assessment is to provide a <u>best estimate</u> of the flooding probability. However, an appropriate freeboard should be added to the water levels when flood protection design concepts are developed.

### 3.2 Astronomical Tides

Astronomical tides are caused by the gravitational interaction of the sea, moon and sun. Due to the timing of the elliptical orbits of the moon around the earth and the earth around the sun, the tidal cycle repeats approximately every 18.6 years.

The closest ports to the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station with available tidal data are Pedder Bay, Esquimalt and Victoria. The Pedder Bay station is located to the south of the site and the Esquimalt and Victoria stations are located to the north.

According to the Canadian Hydrographic Service Canadian Tide and Current Tables, Volume 5, 2015, the magnitude of the predicted tides for Pedder Bay, Esquimalt and Victoria are identical with minor variances in their timing. Water levels from the Victoria tide station have therefore been used for the analysis because this station has both predicted tides, and a long observed tide data record.

Astronomical tide data for Victoria is summarized in Table 3-2. Water levels have been converted from CD to CGVD (HTv2 2010) by means of elevation data provided for Benchmark Number 87C9766 in Victoria Harbour; the conversion according to this benchmark is 1.895 m (CD – CGVD).

Tidal Level	Water Level (m, CD)	Water Level (m, CGVD)
Higher High Water, Large Tide (HHWLT)	3.4	1.5
Higher High Water, Mean Tide (HHWMT)	2.5	0.6
Mean Water Level (MWL)	1.9	0.0
Lower Low Water, Mean Tide (LLWMT)	0.7	-1.2
Lower Low Water, Large Tide (LLWLT)	0.0	-1.9

#### Table 3-2: Astronomical Tides (Victoria)

### 3.3 Tide + Storm Surge

Storm surges are increases and decreases in the sea level caused by storm generated atmospheric pressure fluctuations and wind. When a large storm surge occurs at the same time as a high tide, extraordinary flooding can occur. Given that storm surges and astronomical tides are caused by entirely different phenomena, one can expect that they are entirely uncorrelated; however, it has been shown in some areas (e.g. Southend, UK) that storm surges are smaller for higher tidal levels due to local hydrodynamics.

In order to estimate the probability of storm surges for this project, we have performed a Peaks-over-Threshold (PoT) analysis of observed water levels from the Victoria tide station from 1910 to 2014 (56 complete years of data in this period). PoT analysis involves analyzing the recorded data for independent storm events above a threshold elevation (taken as the minimum of the annual extremes). The PoT data is then fitted using the Generalized Pareto Distribution to determine flood levels and their respective annual return period.



The PoT analysis method provides a water level that includes astronomical tides, storm surge and other longer term water level changes due to seasonal weather patterns (winter/summer) and multi-annual phenomena (e.g. El Nino, Pacific Decadal Oscillation). In addition, potential correlation between tides and storm surge are accounted for in this technique. Water levels for various return periods are provided in Table 3-3.

#### Table 3-3: Water Levels (Tide + Storm Surge) for Various Return Periods

Return Period	Water Level (m, CGVD)
5	1.63
10	1.68
25	1.74
50	1.78
85	1.80
100	1.81
200	1.84

#### 3.4 Sea Level Rise

The BC Ministry of Forests, Lands and Natural Resource Operations (MFLNRO) published the Flood Hazard Area Land Use Management Guidelines (FHALUMG) in 2004. MFLNRO issued a draft amendment to the section of the FHALUMG related to "The Sea" in 2013 [3]. This draft amendment includes a recommended curve for sea level rise policy in BC; estimated sea level rise values for various time horizons are summarized in Table 3-4.

#### Table 3-4: Expected Sea Level Rise

Year	Sea Level Rise (m)
2025 (10 years)	0.25
2040 (25 years)	0.40
2065 (50 years)	0.65
2100 (85 years)	1.0

### 3.5 Wind Generated Waves

#### Wind

Wind data from the Environment Canada station at Gonzales (Gonzales CS and Gonzales HTS) was processed to determine the wind climate at the site and design wind speeds. The Gonzales station is located approximately 10 km east of the site on Gonzales Hill at an elevation 69 m.

Data from 2000 to 2010 was processed to produce the wind rose in Figure 3-1. It can be seen from the figure that the predominant direction for high winds is west-south-west (WSW) and south-east (SE) and the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile hourly wind speeds for both these directions are similar (about 50 km/hr).

Strong south-east winds are common in the fall/winter while strong westerly winds tend to occur in the summer.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM



Flood Hazard and Risk Assessment June 29, 2016



Figure 3-1: Wind Rose for Gonzales (Hourly Wind Speeds in km/hr)

Wind speeds for various return periods were determined through extreme value analysis of all-direction annual maximum hourly wind speeds from 1953 to 2014. The design wind speeds are provided in Table 3-5 along with 90% confidence intervals. The data was found to be best-fit by the Weibull Distribution. For reference, the 1/50 year wind pressure for Victoria in the BC Building Code corresponds to a wind speed of 112 km/hr.



Return	Hourly Wind Speed (km/hr)			
Period (years)	90% Confidence Lower Bound	Mean	90% Confidence Upper Bound	
Annual	76	80	84	
5	84	89	94	
10	90	95	102	
25	96	103	111	
50	100	108	116	
85	103	112	121	
100	104	113	122	

#### Table 3-5: All-Direction Wind Speeds for Various Return Periods

#### **Deep Water Waves**

The pump station site is exposed to large fetches to the south (approximately 30 km) and east (approximately 50 km). As noted above, the highest wind speeds at Gonzales tend to come from the southeast and west, however, the Gonzales station is on the top of a hill and is exposed to winds from all directions while the pump station site is at the bottom of the hill and is sheltered from direct westerly winds. Instead, the westerly winds measured at Gonzales tend to "bend" around the Metchosin peninsula and turn into a southerly wind at the site. Given that the westerly and south-easterly winds are of similar magnitudes, but the fetch is larger in the south-east direction, it is expected that the south easterly fetch provides the governing wave conditions at the site. Deep water waves were hindcast using the methods outlined in the Coastal Engineering Manual [5]. Deep water wave conditions for various return periods are summarized in Table 3-6.

Return Period (years)	Significant Wave Height, H <sub>s</sub> (m)	Peak Wave Period, T <sub>p</sub> (s)	Deep Water Wave Length, L <sub>o</sub> (m)
Annual	2.29	5.65	50
5	2.97	6.16	59
10	3.22	6.33	63
25	3.57	6.55	67
50	3.78	6.68	70
85	3.96	6.78	72
100	4.01	6.81	72

#### Table 3-6: Deep Water Wave Conditions

#### **Wave Effect on Pump Station**

As the deep water waves propagate to the site, they transform due to refraction and shoaling, break and run-up the beach. The wave run-up can convey seawater and driftwood far inshore and cause flooding damage well above the level of the sea.

Wave run-up elevations have been calculated based on the laboratory and field work performed by Mase [7] and Papronty et al. [8]. For the purpose of the flood hazard assessment, we have neglected the effects of refraction, since the beach is oriented almost perpendicular to the south-east fetch and we have neglected the presence of the berm in front of the station because it is of limited extent and it is expected that floodwaters can travel around the ends of the berm and damage the station. It is also assumed that the beach profile will not significantly change due to climate change.



Current guidance from the US Federal Emergency Management Agency [8] suggests that the use of the run-up elevation reached by between 10% and 33% of the waves ( $R_{10\%}$  and  $R_{33\%}$ ) defines the elevation at which significant flooding damage to buildings occurs. For the purpose of this study, we have conservatively used the  $R_{10\%}$  value.

One important consideration in determining the flooding risk is the <u>water level at which the wave run-up</u> <u>occurs</u>. If the wave run-up occurs at low tide, the water may not reach the pump station even if the waves are large. However, if the wave run-up occurs at a high astronomical tide with storm surge, flooding and associated damage may occur even for smaller wave heights. A key component in the analysis is therefore the extent to which high water levels, winds and waves are dependant variables. If they are dependant variables, large tides would be accompanied by large storm surges and waves, but if they are independent, the fact that the tide is high, doesn't provide any indication of the magnitude of the other variables.

In order to account for the possible dependence, or correlation of astronomical tides, storm surges and waves, a frequency analysis of the estimated <u>total water level</u> including wave run-up was conducted. The methodology used is outlined as follows:

- Create a continuous hourly record of water levels and winds (37 years of data available);
- Calculate the deep water wave height for each wind speed conservatively assuming the winds are coming from the southeast fetch. Note that the strongest winds at the site come from the south-east and south as discussed above;
- Calculate the estimated wave run-up elevation at the beach (total water level) based on the deepwater wave conditions, the beach slope and the water level (tide + storm surge);
- Perform a Peaks-over-Threshold (PoT) analysis on the total water level and determine the expected return period of a range of run-up elevations.

The results of the PoT analysis are presented in Figure 3-2. The "best-estimate" total water level from extreme value analysis is presented along with lower and upper bound values. The lower bound value is the result obtained when assuming that storm surges and waves and completely <u>uncorrelated</u> (i.e. there is an equal probability of any wind speed happening at any storm surge + tide level). The upper bound value is the result obtained when assuming that storm surges and waves and completely <u>correlated</u> (i.e. there is the result obtained when assuming that storm surges and waves and completely <u>correlated</u> (i.e. the 100-year wind speed and wave run-up <u>always</u> happens along with the 100-year storm surge + tide). The "best-estimate" total water level is between the lower and upper bounds, indicating that wave run-up and storm surge + tide have a partial correlation at this site.





#### Figure 3-2: Total water levels (tide + storm surge + wave run-up) for various return periods

It should be noted that the wave run-up includes a component called "wave set-up" which is an increase in the static water level at the shoreline due to wave breaking. In general, wave set-up can contribute to "blue-water" flooding during storm events. However, at this particular site, the contribution of wave set-up is only considered for "wave" flooding due to the presence of Esquimalt Lagoon. The water levels in Esquimalt Lagoon are expected to respond to storm surge but not wave set-up and therefore the lagoon attenuates the wave set-up component of blue water flooding since its' water level is lower than that at the adjacent shoreline.

#### 3.6 Tsunami

Tsunamis are waves caused by landslides and earthquakes. At the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station site, tsunamis could be caused by landslides within the Strait of Juan de Fuca (both above and below the sea surface), local (crustal) earthquakes and Cascadia Subduction Zone earthquakes. Of these tsunami generating phenomena, only the Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ) earthquake and tsunami has been researched to the extent that flooding elevations have been calculated and probabilities of occurrence are available. Two references have been used to determine CSZ related tsunami flooding hazards:

# AECOM, "Modeling of Potential Tsunami Inundation Limits and Run-Up", for the Capital Regional District, June 2013 [1].

This study involved the development of a hydrodynamic tsunami model for the entire Capital Regional District including the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station site. This model predicts the maximum water level and water velocity due to the tsunami. The earthquake and tsunami event modelled has a return period of 500 years and a magnitude of Mw 9.0. Water levels at the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station site for the 1-in-500-year CSZ tsunami are provided in Table 3-7 below.



#### Table 3-7: Tsunami Inundation Levels at Ocean Boulevard Pump Station Site

Parameter	Value			
Maximum Water Level in Conjunction with Subsidence	2.7 m from MWL <sup>1</sup>			
50% Factor for Public Safety <sup>2</sup>	1.35 m			
Estimated Total Flood Level	4.1 m CGVD			
Notes:				
<ol> <li>MWL and CGVD are approximately equal at Ocean Boulevard Pump Station site. Tsunami assumed coincident with HHWMT. Water level includes expected land subsidence (about 0.15 m) in the CSZ earthquake.</li> </ol>				

 Factor for Public Safety is to account for uncertainty related to the magnitude of the earthquake and the initial tsunami wave amplitude, tide variations (i.e. tsunamis that occur at tides higher than HHWMT and potential inaccuracies in topographic/bathymetric data.

The estimated total flood level (2015) is 4.1 m CGVD including the recommended safety factor. Given that the floor elevation of the pump station is 2.8 m CGVD, it is recommended that the City plans for complete destruction of the pump station in a CSZ tsunami scenario.

U.S. Geological Survey, Turbidite Event History – Methods and Implications for Holocene Paleoseismicity of the Cascadia Subduction Zone, 2012 [10].

This study involved the collection of borehole (core) samples from the ocean floor along the length of the CSZ in order to identify sediment flows and deposits (turbidites) caused by subduction earthquakes. This investigation technique allowed for the identification of 19 north-central margin subduction earthquakes occurring over the past 10,000 years and their estimated dates of occurrence. Based on this data, a recurrence model for CSZ earthquakes (and tsunamis) was developed and encounter probabilities were estimated; these probabilities are provided in Table 3-8. It should be noted that subduction zone earthquakes have been shown to be <u>dependant</u> events, and therefore there is a continuously increasing probability with time since the last earthquake (A.D. 1700).

The USGS estimates that there is a 27% chance that a full margin subduction earthquake will occur between 1700 and 2060 with a 90% lower confidence bound of 14% and a 90% upper confidence bound of 41%.

# Table 3-8: Probability of North-Central Margin Cascadia Subduction Zone Earthquake and Tsunami

Time Interval	Mean Probability of Occurrence
2015-2025	2%
2015-2040	4%
2015-2065	9%
2015-2100	15%

The water levels and probabilities presented above are subject to the following limitations:

- Due to a lack of data, tsunamis caused by landslides within the Strait of Juan de Fuca (both above and below the sea surface) and local (crustal) earthquakes are <u>not considered</u> in the probabilities; and
- Increases in water levels due to potential landslides triggered by CSZ earthquakes are not included.

For these reasons, the tsunami generated flood levels and probabilities presented in this memorandum must be considered approximate and indicative only.

#### KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

consulting engineers

11



### 3.7 Ground Uplift

The land in the Greater Victoria area is gradually being lifted as due to crustal movement in the CSZ. The uplift rate at the pump station site is estimated to be 0.6 mm/year based on data published by Ausenco Sandwell [2] for Albert Head. This ground uplift adjustment is included in the water levels for tide + storm surge. It should be noted that 0.15 m of <u>subsidence</u> is expected during the CSZ earthquake, and this adjustment has been included in the tsunami water levels.

#### 3.8 Assessment

The flood hazard assessment of the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station was undertaken by assessing the flood risk due to "Blue Water" flooding and wave flooding due to storms and tsunami; the results are presented in Table 3-9 and Table 3-10.

Two different elevations were used for the flood hazard assessment:

- The elevation of the top of the wet well (2.45 m CGVD). At this flooding elevation, seawater can enter the wet well, potentially causing an overflow, the ventilation and odour control kiosk can be damaged and access to the station will be difficult due to standing water and debris.
- The elevation of the flood slab of the pump station building (2.80 m CGVD). At this elevation, it is possible that the pump station electrical systems will be damaged and rendered inoperable and the pump station structure and architectural finishes will receive some damage. Access to the station under this flooding scenario would be unsafe.

As discussed in Section 3.6, the CSZ tsunami is expected to reach an elevation of approximately 4.1 m CGVD, and it is recommended that the City plans for complete destruction of the pump station in a CSZ tsunami scenario.

The assessment was divided into time spans: current, 2015-2025, 2025-2040, 2040-2065 (the end the pump station's design life), and 2065-2100. For each time span, the sea level rise occurring at the end of the time span was conservatively assumed to be in effect for the entire time span; for example, for the 2040 to 2065 time span, a sea level rise value of 0.65 m was added to the existing total water levels. Table 3-9 and 3-10 include the estimated return period of the flooding event, the probability that the event will occur during a given time span (i.e. the encounter probability) and the cumulative probability that the event will occur between 2015 and a given date. For example, there is an estimated 36.2% probability of blue water flooding to the 2.45 m CGVD elevation between 2040 and 2065 and 36.7% probability of flooding occurring between 2015 and 2065.

It should be noted that one of the fundamental assumptions of the flood hazard assessment is that storm surge, winds and waves will <u>not change</u> with climate change. Current climate change research suggests that wind speeds will not change significantly in British Columbia, therefore this is considered to be a valid assumption.

For existing conditions, review of the return periods in Table 3-9 indicates that "Blue Water" flooding of the station, even to the lower elevation of 2.45 m CGVD is currently a remote possibility with a return period of greater than 1000 years. However, "white water" wave flooding due to storms ( $R_{10\%}$ ) can currently be expected to occur to the 2.45 m CGVD elevation approximately every 5 years and waves can be expected to reach the 2.80 m CGVD elevation every 33 years.

Not surprisingly, the risk of flooding is expected to increase with rising sea levels over the next 85 years. By the year 2065, "Blue Water" flooding of the pump station to the 2.45 m CGVD elevation is expected to have a return period of 56 years, resulting is a probability of 36.7% that flooding will occur before the

### KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

12



service life of the pump station is reached. "Blue Water" flooding to the 2.80 m CGVD elevation is not probable before the service life of the pump station is reached.

Storm wave flooding to the 2.80 m CGVD elevation is expected to occur on average every 8 years by the year 2025 reducing to an annual return period by 2065. There is a 9% chance that the pump station will be impacted by a CSZ tsunami before the service life of the pump station is reached.

Scenario		Time Span	Event Return Period (Years)	Encounter Probability in Time Span	Cumulative Encounter Probability
"Blue Water" Flooding		Current	>1,000		
Mean Sea (m, CGVD	a Level Threshold )) <u>&gt;</u> 2.45	2015 to 2025 (10 years)	>1,000	0.1%	0.1%
		2025 to 2040 (15 years)	>1,000	0.7%	0.8%
		2040 to 2065 (25 years)	56	36.2%	36.7%
		2065 to 2100 (35 years)	3	99.9%	99.9%
Wave Flooding	Storm 10% Wave Run- Up Threshold (m, CGVD) <u>&gt;</u> 2.45	Current	5.1		
		2015 to 2025 (10 years)	2.1	99.1%	99.1%
		2025 to 2040 (15 years)	1.5	99.9%	99.9%
		2040 to 2065 (25 years)	1.1	99.9%	99.9%
		2065 to 2100 (35 years)	<1	99.9%	99.9%
	Tsunami <b>Wave Height</b>	Current	~500		
	Threshold (m, CGVD) <u>≥</u> 2.45	2015 to 2025 (10 years)			2%
		2025 to 2040 (15 years)			4%
		2040 to 2065 (25 years)			9%
		2065 to 2100 (35 years)			15%

<b>Table 3-9: Encounter Probabilities</b>	for Various Flooding	Scenarios Usin	g 2.45 m, CGVD <sup>.</sup>	Threshold
			<b>y</b> - ,	



S	Scenario	Time Span	Event Return Period (Years)	Encounter Probability in Time Span	Cumulative Encounter Probability
"Blue Water" Flooding Mean Sea Level Threshold (m, CGVD) ≥ 2.8		Current	>1,000		
		2015 to 2025 (10 years)	>1,000	0.1%	0.1%
		2025 to 2040 (15 years)	>1,000	0.1%	0.25%
		2040 to 2065 (25 years)	>1,000	0.2%	0.5%
		2065 to 2100 (35 years)	72	38.6%	38.7%
Wave Flooding	Storm 10% Wave Run-	Current	33		
Up (m	Up Threshold (m, CGVD) <u>&gt;</u> 2.8	2015 to 2025 (10 years)	8.5	69.2%	69.2%
		2025 to 2040 (15 years)	4.5	96.5%	98.9%
		2040 to 2065 (25 years)	2	99.9%	99.9%
		2065 to 2100 (35 years)	1.1	99.9%	99.9%
	Tsunami <b>Wave Height</b>	Current	~500	N/A	N/A
	Threshold (m, CGVD) <u>&gt;</u> 2.8	2015 to 2025 (10 years)			2%
		2025 to 2040 (15 years)			4%
		2040 to 2065 (25 years)			9%
		2065 to 2100 (35 years)			15%

#### Table 3-10: Encounter Probabilities for Various Flooding Scenarios Using 2.8 m, CGVD Threshold



### 4. Summary and Conclusions

### 4.1 Summary of Findings

A flood hazard assessment for the Ocean Boulevard Pump Station has been performed. The objective of the flood hazard assessment is to provide the estimated probability that the station will be flooded by seawater due to storms and tsunami to the year 2065 (the end of its expected service life) and 2100. Potential flooding from overland sources (e.g. rainfall and creeks) is not considered in the analysis.

Two different flooding probabilities have been calculated for various time horizons:

- 1. The probability of being flooded by "blue water"- i.e. the station elevation is less than or equal to the sea level; and
- 2. The probability of being flooded by waves- i.e. the station is above the sea level but is transiently flooded by waves or "white water".

The results of the flood hazard assessment are summarized as follows:

- It is estimated that there is a 37% probability that "blue water" flooding to the 2.45 m CGVD elevation could occur before the service life of the pump station is reached. At this flooding elevation, seawater can enter the wet well, potentially causing an overflow, the ventilation and odour control kiosk can be damaged and access to the station will be difficult due to standing water and debris (logs etc.).
- "Blue Water" flooding to the 2.80 m CGVD elevation, in which damage to electrical systems could occur, is not probable before the service life of the pump station is reached.
- Storm wave flooding to the 2.45 m CGVD elevation is predicted to occur every 2 years by 2025 and will become more frequent thereafter. Storm wave flooding to the 2.80 m CGVD elevation is expected to occur on average every 8 years by the year 2025 reducing to a 2-year return period by 2065. This storm wave flooding could result in damage to the station if it is not mitigated through the construction of shore protection or the station is flood-proofed.
- It is estimated that there is a 9% chance that the pump station will be impacted by a CSZ tsunami before the service life of the pump station is reached. The estimated total flood level (2015) in the CSZ tsunami is 4.1 m CGVD including a recommended safety factor. Given that the floor elevation of the pump station is 2.8 m CGVD, it is recommended that the City plans for complete destruction of the pump station in a CSZ tsunami scenario.

### 4.2 Next Steps

The next phase of the pump station protection plan is to develop mitigation options to address the hazards identified in this assessment. At this time, it is envisioned that the mitigation options will focus on:

- Floodproofing of the pump station to manage the blue water flooding hazard to the wetwell and the storm wave flooding of the wetwell and electrical room;
- Construction of shore protection works (e.g. a more extensive berm) to mitigate the storm wave flooding hazard;
- The required components of an emergency management plan to mitigate the tsunami hazard;



• The potential timing and triggers to "retreat" from the site and reconstruct the pump station in a safer location taking in account sea level rise, the tsunami hazard, potential erosion of the Coburg Peninsula and the need for eventual infrastructure renewal.

#### KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

Prepared by:

Reviewed by:

Eric Morris , M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Project Manager

EM/am Attachments: Appendix A- Pump Station Drawings Dave Murray, P.Eng., A.Sc.T., CPESC Project Reviewer



#### **Statement of Limitations**

This document has been prepared by Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL) for the exclusive use and benefit of the intended recipient. No other party is entitled to rely on any of the conclusions, data, opinions, or any other information contained in this document.

This document represents KWL's best professional judgement based on the information available at the time of its completion and as appropriate for the project scope of work. Services performed in developing the content of this document have been conducted in a manner consistent with that level and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering profession currently practising under similar conditions. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

#### **Copyright Notice**

These materials (text, tables, figures and drawings included herein) are copyright of Kerr Wood Leidal Associates Ltd. (KWL). The City of Colwood is permitted to reproduce the materials for archiving and for distribution to third parties only as required to conduct business specifically relating to the Flood Hazard and Risk Assessment. Any other use of these materials without the written permission of KWL is prohibited.

#### **Revision History**

Revision #	Date	Status	Revision Description	Author
А	August 28, 2015	Draft		EM
В	June 29, 2016	Final	Revised Based on Client Comments	EM



#### KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

consulting engineers





			PUMP ST	TATION BUILDING TOP OF SLAB ELEVATION 2.8	0 m											
	6		WETV	WELL SLAB ELEVATION 2.45 m												
	4			TOP OF BERM 3.30 m												
	OCEAN BOULEVAR	RD		!												
	2															
														—		
	0															
	-2									EX	ISTING BEACH PROFILE					
	-4															
	-6															
	-8															
		0+	020	0	+040					0+0	60					
NOTE: 1) ALL ELEVATIONS ARE TO							PROFI	LE								
CANADIAN GEODETIC VERTICAL DATU	м					Sca	ale: H 1:150,	V 1:150								
•				s	eal:	Rev	Date	Des Dv	vn Chk	Description	n of Revision	Rev	Date	Des f	Own Chk	
						A	2015-08-25	EM A	E DNM I	SSUED FOR INFORMATION						
						~	2010 00 20									
														+		
														$\vdash$		
	4												-	<u> </u>		
4	-	3		-						4			-			





# APPENDIX A Pump Station Drawings



# **CITY OF COLWOOD COLWOOD TRUNK SEWER** PHASE V CONTRACT No. TS-2002-3

### **DRAWING INDEX**

DRAWING No. DESCRIPTION

C01	SITE PLAN, DRAWING INDEX AN	D
002	DND - LAGOON ROAD STA.: 21	-2
003	UND - LAGUUN RUAD STA.: 1-	-9
C04	DND - LAGOON ROAD STA.: 1-	+5
C05	DND - LAGOON ROAD STA .: 1-	+2
C06	ANCHORAGE AVENUE STA .: 3+1	2
C07	MANHOLE BASE DETAILS	
G01	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	. :
A01	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	- (
P01	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	
P02	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	. :
P03	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	.
S01	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	- 1
S02	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	. :
S03	MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS	
S04	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	• 1
M01	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	• (
E01	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	
E02	OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION -	•

12009 unless otherwise noted. All services are 1.2m depth (MIN.) stalled every 25m where pea gravel was used as bedding material

. All services are 100mm# (unless otherwise specified) c/w inspection chamber. See MMCD standard drawing \$50 and City of olwood standard drawing \$50. Inspection chamber is offset minimum 1.5m from property line. All services have inspection namber c/w concrete pull box and cast iron lid. Lid marked 'City of Calwood Sanitary'.

5. Inspection chamber rim elevations are approximate and are shown for estimating purposes only. Contractor set rim elevation to suit existing loggaraphy.

ROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

To protect the soil, water and vegetative resources of the area, only those areas necessary to constraint in the Engineering drawings were disturbed.

S. SEDIMENT CONTROL:

e. Any irregulations water from excavations f. No sill laden water from excavations system bypassing the sill control works

	Stantec Consulting Ltd.	DESIGNED: JDM/TB	JDM	CLI	
A	Victoria BC Canada V8V 3K3 Tel: (250) 388-9161	CHECKED: MAP	APPROVED: TB	TIT	
<b>Stantec</b>	Fax: (250) 382-0514 email: victoria@stantec.com www.stantec.com	SCALE: 1:5000 HOR.			



SITE PLAN

CO CLEAN OUT

. WATER METER WATER VALVE

OTILITY POLE

A FIRE HYDRANT

CULVERT INVERT

O MANHOLE

O MONUMEN

#### LEGEND

	EXISTING
	TOP OF BANK
·····	BUSH LINE
S	SANITARY SEWER
0	STORM DRAIN
	DITCH BOTTOM PAVED DRIVEWAY
· W	WATER MAIN
//	PAVEMENT EDGE
	FENCE LINE
C	GAS MAIN
——————————————————————————————————————	UNDERGROUND HYDRO LINE
	PROFILE DITCH BOTTOM

#### PROPOSE

	- UNDERGROUND ELECTRICAL
F	- SANITARY FORCE MAIN
S	- SANITARY SEWER
D	- STORM DRAIN
	- WATER MAIN
<u>5</u>	- SANITARY SERVICE

•	
O CLEAN OUT	
LOWEST HABITABLE ELEVATION	•
O SERVICE INVERT AT PROPERTY LINE	•
WATER VALVE	
MANHOLE	
INSPECTION CHAMBER: PROPOSED LOCAT	ION
O INSPECTION CHAMBER: PREFERRED LOCA	NON

REVISIONS				DRAWING STATUS	5			
0.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED	No.	DESCRIPTION	DATE	APPROVED	
7	RECORD DRAWING	03.05	101 50 600	1	PRELIMINARY	00.09.20	TB	
				2	FOR APPROVAL	02.05.10	' TB	
				3	FOR TENDER	02.06.21	TB	
				4	APPROVAL FOR CONSTRUCTION			
				5	PLAN OF RECORD			
				6	MICROFILMED			

GENERAL NOTES

All work and materials are as described in the latest adition of the City of Colwood Subdivision and Development of Land Bylow and standard drawings or as alterwise approved by the Engineer. Where allowed, Master Municipal Construction Document (MMCD) standards were used.

Notified Engineer and the City of Colwood 48 hours prior to co.

SEAL:

ction to, or otteration of, existing City of Colwood awned utilities was undertaken by the City of Colwood forces anly, erwise authorized by the City of Colwaad.

Connection to, or alteration of, existing BC Hydro, Telus, Show Coble, Centra Gos or other utilities was underloken by the propriale utility anky, unless athermise authorized by that utility company. Contractor co-ardinated pole relocation with BC Hydro. I fel and all other agencies having services on the same pole.

actor complied with all applicable Ministry of Water, Land and Air Protection and Department of Fisheries & Oceans Iguirements at all times during construction.

confirmed location of existing utilities at all crossings and connections and 7. Contractor obtained all necessary permits or clearances from the City of Colwood to do any work that may affect privately

Damage or removal of existing survey monuments outside of the required working area are to the City of Colward.

10. All areas affected by the work were restared to ariginal or better condition and to the satisfaction of the City of Colwo

GENERAL NOTES. 40 TO 1+920 20 TO 1+560 560 TO 1+200 200 TO 0+980 20 TO 2+950

SITE PLAN CONTROL BUILDING - PLAN & PROFILE PLAN SECTIONS MISCELLANEOUS DETAILS & SECTIONS PLAN SECTIONS AND DETAILS

PLANS, SECTIONS, AND DETAILS CONTROL BUILDING - HVAC/PLUMBING EQUIPMENT LAYOUT SINGLE LINE DIAGRAM

All elevations are geodetic and all co-ordinates are UTM NADB3 ground level based of located at the intersection of Ocean Boulevard and Lagoon Road. To convert to UTM sea combined scale factor of 0.9995174.

2. All surplus moterial was removed from the site and properly disposed of in acc

I. Erosion and sediment control for this project is as autimed in the the latest edition of the Fisheries and Oceans Canada and Ainstry of Water, Land and Air Protection Handbook entitled "LAND DEVELOPMENT GUIDELINES FOR THE PROTECTION OF AQUATIC HADIFAT. The Controctor acquired these guidelines and comitancies with the requirements therein.

Contractor ensured that: works were undertaken and completed by the Contractor in such a manner as to prevent the release of sediment laden into any body of water, watercourse or storm sewer. ring construction, the Contractor ensured sediment control facilities were mointained and warking adequately to control all and a contraction. The Contractor ensured sediment control facilities were mointained and warking adequately to control all the Contractor and a contractor ensured sediment control facilities are a doity basis to ensure proper operation until

ice included flushing of any storm sewer as required. Silt build-up was ren ensure proper operation unit removal or success to the second of the Engineer. Fence had a minimum clear wal to Sit fence was "control sit fence plus or equivibility to so opproved by the Engineer. Fence had a minimum clear wal role of 0.03 m<sup>3</sup>/m<sup>2</sup> (0.10 cls/si). Fence was stopled at 1.0m O/C. Bottom of sit fence was anchored as required. Any irregularities were reported to the Engineer immediately.

was pumped out or otherwise directly discharged into any wa

The City assumed no responsibility for damages resulting from improper by the Contractor.

OL ITAT.		DATE	CUEET.
GLIENT:		DATE:	SHEET:
	CITE OF COEWOOD	JUNE 2001	
TITLE:	COLWOOD TRUNK SEWER	JOB No.	
	COLWOOD TRONK SEWER	120 30700	
	PHASE V	DDACION.	DDAWNO.
	SITE PLAN, DRAWING INDEX	REVISION:	DRAWING:
		7	C01
	AND GENERAL NUTES.		
		NO V	Q1 00



. •

RDINATE	DESCRIPTION
364853.956 E=465224.296	PUMP STATION
364857.890 E=465227.446	PUMP STATION
364862.304 E=465229.859	BUILDING CORNER
364870.091 E=465235.300	BUILDING CORNER
364877.441 E=465227.727	MANHOLE
364862.175 E=465217.124	MANHOLE



AS-BUILT FOLDER NO. V-S1-09

•







...\*

#### GENERAL NOTES

1. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS. ALL DRAWINGS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH RELEVANT BUILDING, PROCESS, ELECTRICAL, AND MECHANICAL DRAWINGS. IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR AND/OR SUBTRADES TO BRING TO THE ATTENTION OF THE REGINEER ANY DISCREPANCIES HE MAY FIND IN DIMENSIONS AND/OR DETAILS FOR VERIFICATION PRIOR TO CONSTRUC-TION, REFER TO MECHANICAL, PROCESS, AND ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS FOR LOCATION & SIZE OF ALL HOLES AND PENETRATIONS FOR PIPING, ETC. NOT SPECIFICALLY SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS.

- 2. WORK TO BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING CODES: BRITISH COLUMBIA BUILDING CODE 1998
- 3. SPECIFIED DESIGN LOADS

WIND	$q_{10} = 0.48 \text{ kPa}$	$\frac{\text{SEISMIC}}{\text{ZV}} = 5.0$	
ROOF	$q_{30} \approx 0.58$ kPd	v = 0.30	
	LL = 4.8  kF	20	
VALVE C	HAMBER FLOOR	WET WELL FLOOR	

SUPERIMPOSED DL = 1.0 kPa MINIMUM SUPERIMPOSED DL = 1.0 kPa MINIMUM LL = 5.0 kPa LL = 15.0 kPa

#### 4. CONCRETE

ALL CONCRETE TO HAVE A COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 35 MPg AT 28 DAYS. MAXIMUM AGGREGATE SIZE 20mm, MINIMUM CEMENTIOUS CONTENT 335 Kg/m INCLUDING 20% FLY ASH, EXPOSURE CLASS C-1, TREMIE PLUG CONCRETE TO BE MINIMUM 25 MPg COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH, THE MIX DESIGN SHALL BE SUITABLE FOR A TREMIE POUR.

#### 5. REINFORCING

ALL REINFORCING TO BE GRADE 400. COVER = 75mm FOR CONCRETE CAST AGAINST EARTH, 50mm ELSEWHERE. SPLICE OR ANCHORAGE LENGTHS, UNLESS NOTED

BAR SIZE	TOP	OTHER
10M	590mm	455mr
15M	890mm	685mr
20M	1185mm	920mr
25M	1850mm	1425m
30M	2220mm	1710m

- 1185mm 920mm 1850mm 1425mm
- 2220mm 1710mm

TOP BARS HAVE GREATER THAN 300mm CONCRETE BELOW THE BAR.

6. STRUCTURAL STEEL & MISCELLANEOUS IRON ALL STEEL TO BE GRADE 300 W. ALL HSS SECTIONS TO BE GRADE 350 W, CLASS C, ALL MISCELLANEOUS IRON TO BE HOT DIPPED GALVANIZED AFTER FABRICATION. TOUCH UP FIELD WELDING WITH 2 COATS GALVACON (OR EQUIVALENT). ALL ANCHOR BOLTS/NUTS TO BE -STAINLESS STEEL.

#### 7. CAISSON\_CONSTRUCTION

-THE WET WELL IS BASED ON A CAISSON TYPE CONSTRUCTION. THE VALVE CHAMBER IS DESIGNED TO BE SUPPORTED FROM THE WET WELL CAISSON WITH CONVENTIONAL CONSTRUCTION AFTER SINKING, PLACING AND CURING OF THE TREME PLUG. -GROUND SHIFTING ADJACENT TO THE CAISSON MAY OCCUR DURING SINKING OF THE CAISSON, THE CONTRACTOR'S METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION MUST ACCOUNT FOR ANY TEMPORARY MEASURES REQUIRED SUCH THAT SURROUNDING STRUCTURES AND UTILITIES DO NOT SHIFT AND ARE NOT DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION. -DURING SINKING OF THE CAISSON AND PLACING OF THE TREMIE PLUG, THE WATER LEVEL INSIDE THE CAISSON SHALL BE MAINTAINED AT ELEVATION -1.500m OR HIGHER.

-PRIOR TO PLACING THE TREMIE PLUG, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE A CERTIFIED SURVEY OF THE BOTTOM OF THE EXCAVATION TO INSURE THE EXCAVATED LEVEL IS AT ELEVATION -5.200m OR LOWER.

-UNTIL THE TREMIE PLUG IS PLACED AND CURED TO A MINIMUM STRENGTH OF 20 MPo, THE WATER LEVEL INSIDE THE CAISSON MUST REMAIN AT ELEVATION -1.500m OR HIGHER.

-WALL THICKNESS SHOWN IS MINIMUM THICKNESS REQUIRED. THICKER WALLS MAY BE USED TO SUIT THE CONTRACTOR'S METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION IF REQUIRED. --CONTRACTOR MAY PROVIDE 250 AIR/ WATER PIPES IN WALLS AS SHOWN ON SO2 TO ASSIST IN SINKING OF CAISSON. PIPES ARE OPTIONAL.

#### 8. MASONRY CONSTRUCTION

-ALL MASONRY WORK SHALL CONFORM TO CSA CAN3-S304.1, CAN3-A371 AND TO DETAILS SHOWN, MASONRY BLOCK UNITS SHALL CONFORM TO CSA CAN3-A165

- -masonry block units shall conform to CSA CAN3-A165 series 94 classification H/15/C/m with a minimum strength of 15 MP\_0.
- -PROVIDE LINTELS OVER ALL OPENINGS AND RECESSES IN MASONRY WALLS. FOR A MAX CLEAR SPAN OF 1200MM, USE 2-15M WITH 200 DP, BOND BEAM, FOR MAX CLEAR SPAN OF 2400, USE 2-15M WITH 400 DP, BOND BEAM.
- -MORTAR SHALL CONFORM TO CSA A179 AND BE TYPE 'S'.
- -HORZ, JOINT REINFORCING 3.8mm TRUSS TYPE WIRE REINFORCING @ 200 o/c.



- -PREFABRICATED TRUSSES TO PROFILES, DIMENSIONS & LOADS SHOWN ON THE DWGS, SUPPLIER TO DESIGN TRUSSES IN ACCORDANCE WITH BCBC PART 4 & CSA 086-M, SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS SEALED BY A P.ENG REGISTERED IN BC. -ALL TIMBER TO BE SPF NO.1 OR NO.2, KILN DRIED. -ROOF SHEATING TO BE SPRUCE PLYWOOD, SHEATING GRADE w/CLIPS.
- 10. FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION

-ALL FOUNDATION CONSTRUCTION TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS GIVEN IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT PREPARED BY THURBER ENGINEERING, 9/20/2000 -DRIVEN STEEL PIPE PILES SHALL CONFORM TO CSA A252 SEAMLESS STEEL PIPE, GRADE 2, CLOSED END & CONC. FILLED -- PIPE PILES ARE TO BE DRIVEN TO REFUSAL (MINIMUM 1000mm INTO DENSE TILL LAYER FOR 500 KN WORKING LOAD CAPACITY)



7

800







•





52

.

. •



DATE APPROVED



1 PRELIMINARY

No.

BY APPROVED

DESCRIPTION

DRAWING STATUS

7 05.03 RECORD DRAWING

DESCRIPTION

REVISIONS

DATE

No.





email: victoria@stantec.com

Stantec www.stantec.com

AS NOTED

#### NOTE;

ROUGH-IN ALL PLUMBING FIXTURES AS REQ'D. PROVIDE BLOCKOUT & COVER AT ALL TOILETS, URINAL & SINK CONNECTIONS. ALL FLOOR DRAINS TO HAVE TRAP PRIMERS.



CITY OF COLWOOD	DATE: 00.08.25	SHEET:
TLE: OCEAN BLVD. PUMP STATION	JOB No. 12030700	
PLAN & SECTIONS	REVISION: 7	DRAWING: MO1
	NO V.	_91_09



PANEL A LOCATION MCC												
120/240 V. 1 PH. 3 W 200A A. MAINS												
DESIGNATION	LOAD	CKT.	BKR.		CKT.	BKR.	LOAD	DESIGNATION				
	(W)	TRIP	NO.	0	NO.	TRIP	(W)					
CONTROL PANEL		15	1	Α	2	15		OUTSIDE LIGHTS				
UPS		15	3	В	4	15		CONTROL RM LIGHTS				
GEN. CONTROL POWER		15	5	Α	6	15		WASHROOM LIGHTS				
GEN. BLOCK HEATER		15	7	В	8	15		INT. RECEPTACLES				
SPARE		15	9	Α	10	15		EXT. RECEPTACLES				
SPARE		15	11	8	12	15		WET WELL & V. CHAMBER LIGHTS				
SPARE		15	13	Α	14	15		ODOUR CONTROL FAN				
SPARE		15	15	в	16	15	mat m	EF-3				
			17	Α	18							
			19	в	20							
			21	A	22							
			23	в	24							
			25	Α	26							
			27	в	28							
			29	A	30							

		CABLE SCHEDU	JLE	
CT	CONDUIT SIZE	то	FROM	REMARKS
	2-103mm	MAIN SW. & METER	HYDRO SERVICE	
	27mm	TRANSFORMER	DISC. SW.	
	53mm	GENERATOR	AUTO TRANSFER SWITCH	
	53mm	PUMP #1	MCC	
	53mm	PUMP #2	MCC	
	TECK	30 kVAR CAP.#1	MCC	
	TECK	30 kVAR CAP.#2	MCC	
	TECK	2 kVAR CAP.#3	MCC	
	27mm	FAN KIOSK	MCC	
_	27mm	GENERATOR POWER	MCC	CCTS A5 & A7
	27mm	W.W. & V.C. LIGHTS	MCC	
_	27mm	FLOAT SWITCH	CONTROL PANEL	
	27mm	GENERATOR C.P.	CONTROL PANEL	
	27mm	LEAK/TEMP SENSOR #1	CONTROL PANEL	
	21mm	LEAK/TEMP SENSOR #2	CONTROL PANEL	
Р	27mm	TRANSDUCER (LEVEL)	CONTROL PANEL	

AS\_RIIIT FOIDER NO V\_Q1\_00





## Appendix B

# **Cost Estimates**

Greater Vancouver • Okanagan • Vancouver Island • Calgary

kwl.ca

**Conceptual Level Cost Opinion** 

2417-006

#### CAPITAL COSTS- Phase 1 Berm and Floodproofing

ltem	Description	Unit	Estimated Quantity	Material Unit Rate	Materi <mark>a</mark> l Cost	Crew	Crew Rate \$/day	Duration (Days)	LabourEquip Cost \$	TOTAL PRICE \$	Comment
1	Phase 1 Berm						_				
1.01	Riprap	m3	420	\$ 160.00	\$ 67,200.00	incl.			0	\$ 67,200	
1.02	Lock Blocks	m3	77	\$ 600.00	\$ 46,200.00	C2	4,320	3.00	12,960	\$ 59,160	1
	Subtotal				\$ 113,400				\$ 12,960	\$ 126,360	
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 126,360	
	Engineering								20%	\$ 25,300	
	Contingency								30%	\$ 45,500	
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)									\$ 198,000	
2	Phase 1 Floodproofing										
2.01	Raise Ventilation/Odour Control Kiosk	allow	1	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	incl.			0	\$ 8,000	
	Subtotal				\$ 8,000			0	\$ -	\$ 8,000	
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 8,000	
	Engineering								15%	\$ 1,200	and the second
	Contingency				L				30%	\$ 2,800	
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)									\$ 12,000	
	The second s								Grand Total	\$ 210,000	

Note: Preliminary estimate which, due to little or no site information, indicates the approximate magnitude of cost of the proposed project, based on the client's broad requirements. This overall cost estimate may be derived from lump sum or unit costs for a similar project. It may be used in developing long-term capital plans and for preliminary discussion of proposed capital projects.

KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

Consulting Engineers

\nasvictoria.victoria.kerrwoodleidal.org\Victoria\Projects\2000-2999\2400-2499\2417-006\700-Cost Estimate\Ocean\_Blvd-Adaptation-Cost-Estimate-Conceptual\_Design.xls]Phase 1 Near Term

#### Ocean Boulevard Pump Station Protection Plan



Conceptual Level Cost Opinion

2417-006

CAPITAL COSTS- Phase 2 Berm and Floodproofing

ltem	Description	Unit	Estimated Quantity	Material Unit Rate	Material Cost	Crew	Crew Rate \$/day	Duration (Days)	LabourEquip Cost \$	TOTAL PRICE \$	Comment
1	Phase 2 Berm										
1.01	Riprap	m3	460	\$ 180.00	\$ 82,800.00	incl.			0	\$ 82,800	
1.02	Lock Blocks	m3	88	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 87,500.00	incl.			0	\$ 87,500	
	Subtotal				\$ 170,300				\$ -	\$ 170,300	
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 170,300	
	Engineering								20%	\$ 34,100	
	Contingency								30%	\$ 61,300	
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)									\$ 266,000	
2	Phase 2 Floodproofing										
2.01	Floodproof Wetwell Hatches	allow	1	\$ 30,000	\$ 30,000	C31	3,040	5.00	15,200	\$ 45,200	Assume replacement with watertight models
2.02	Floodproof Pump Station Electrical Room Doors	allow	1	\$ 8,000	\$ 8,000	C31	3,040	2.00	6,080	\$ 14,080	Assume replacement with watertight model
	Subtotal				\$ 38,000			7	\$ 21,280	\$ 59,280	
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 59,280	
	Engineering								15%	\$ 8,900	(c) A state of the second state of the seco
	Contingency								30%	\$ 20,500	
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)									\$ 89,000	
									Grand Total	\$ 355,000	

Note: Preliminary estimate which, due to little or no site information, indicates the approximate magnitude of cost of the proposed project, based on the client's broad requirements. This overall cost estimate may be derived from lump sum or unit costs for a similar project. It may be used in developing long-term capital plans and for preliminary discussion of proposed capital projects.

KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

Consulting Engineers

\\nasvictoria.victoria.kerrwoodleidal.org\Victoria\Projects\2000-2999\2400-2499\2417-006\700-Cost Estimate\{Ocean\_Blvd-Adaptation-Cost-Estimate-Conceptual\_Design.xis]Phase 2 Near Term

#### Ocean Boulevard Pump Station Protection Plan



Conceptual Level Cost Opinion

2417-006

#### CAPITAL COSTS- Emergency Planning

ltem	Description	Unit	Estimated Quantity	Material Unit Rate	Material Cost	Crew	Crew Rate \$/day	Duration (Days)	LabourEquip Cost \$	TOTAL PRICE \$	Comment	
1	Emergency Planning											
1.01	Submersible Pump	L.S.	1	\$ 20,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	incl.			0	\$ 20,000		
1.02	Generator Set	L.S.	1	\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00	incl.			0	\$ 50,000		
1.03	Control Kiosk	L.S.	1	\$ 30,000.00	\$ 30,000.00	incl.			0	\$ 30,000		
1.04	Forcemain Adapter	L.S.	1	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	incl.			0	\$ 5,000		
	Subtotal				\$ 105,000				\$ -	\$ 105,000		
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 105,000		
	Engineering								15%	\$ 15,800		
	Contingency						and the second		30%	\$ 36,200		
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)									\$ 157,000		

Note: Preliminary estimate which, due to little or no site information, indicates the approximate magnitude of cost of the proposed project, based on the client's broad requirements. This overall cost estimate may be derived from lump sum or unit costs for a similar project. It may be used in developing long-term capital plans and for preliminary discussion of proposed capital projects.

KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD. Consulting Engineers \\nasvictoria.victoria.kerrwoodleidal.org\Victoria\Projects\2000-2999/2400-2499/2417-006\700-Cost Estimate\(Ocean\_B)vd-Adaptation-Cost-Estimate-Conceptual\_Design.xls)Emergency Planning

#### Ocean Boulevard Pump Station Protection Plan



Conceptual Level Cost Opinion

2417-006

#### CAPITAL COSTS- Move the Pump Station

ltem	Description	Unit	Estimated Quantity	Material Unit Rate	Material Cost	Crew	Crew Rate \$/day	Duration (Days)	LabourEquip Cost \$	TOTAL PRICE \$	Comment
1	Relocate Pump Station										
1.01	New Pump Station with Public Washrooms	L.S.	1	\$ 1,500,000.00	\$ 1,500,000.00	incl.			0	\$ 1,500,000	
	Subtotal				\$ 1,500,000				\$ -	\$ 1,500,000	
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 1,500,000	
	Engineering								15%	\$ 225,000	
	Contingency								30%	\$ 517,500	
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)				9. F. F.					\$ 2,243,000	
2	Gravity Sewers										
2.01	200 mm diameter gravity sewer	m	305	\$ 500	\$ 152,500	incl.			0	\$ 152,500	Richmond costs- no dewater
2.02	250 mm diamater forcemain	m	235	\$ 500	\$ 117,500	incl.			0	\$ 117,500	
	Subtotal				\$ 270,000			0	\$-	\$ 270,000	
	SUBTOTAL									\$ 270,000	
	Engineering								15%	\$ 40,500	and the second
	Contingency			ينت ويحجب والمحجا		ine states			30%	\$ 93,200	
	TOTAL AMOUNT (excl. GST)									\$ 404,000	
									Grand Total	\$ 2,647,000	

Note: Preliminary estimate which, due to little or no site information, indicates the approximate magnitude of cost of the proposed project, based on the client's broad requirements. This overall cost estimate may be derived from lump sum or unit costs for a similar project. It may be used in developing long-term capital plans and for preliminary discussion of proposed capital projects.

KERR WOOD LEIDAL ASSOCIATES LTD.

Consulting Engineers

\\nasvictoria.victoria.kerrwoodleidal.org\Victoria\Projects\2000-2999\2400-2499\2417-006\700-Cost Estimate\\Ocean\_Blvd-Adaptation-Cost-Estimate-Conceptual\_Design.xls)Long Term

#### Ocean Boulevard Pump Station Protection Plan

