## CITY OF COLWOOD

3300 Wishart Road | Colwood | BC V9C 1R1 | 250 294-8153 planning@colwood.ca | www.colwood.ca

File: DP-22-020

## DEVELOPMENT PERMIT DP-22-020

THIS PERMIT, issued August 212023 is,

ISSUED BY: CITY OF COLWOOD, a municipality incorporated under the Local Government Act, 3300 Wishart Road, Victoria, BC, V9C 1R1
(the "City")
PURSUANT TO: Section 490 of the Local Government Act, RSBC 2015, Chapter 1

ISSUED TO: JM Architecture Inc.
Suite 107
15055 54A Avenue
Surrey, BC VS3 5X7 (the "Permittee")

1. This Form and Character Development Permit, for the construction of two commercial retail buildings and a carwash in lands designated within the Mixed Use Employment Centre Development Permit Area, is issued subject to compliance with all of the bylaws of the City of Colwood applicable thereto, except as specifically varied or supplemented by this Permit.
2. This Form and Character Development Permit applies to those lands within the City of Colwood described below, and any and all buildings, structures, and other development thereon:

> Lot A Esquimalt District Plan VIP76445 Section 67
> Lot 6 Esquimalt District Plan VIP6346 Section 68
(the "Lands")
3. This Development Permit regulates the development of the Lands, and supplements the "Colwood Land Use Bylaw, 1989" (Bylaw No. 151), to ensure the form and character considerations for two commercial retail buildings, a car wash, and associated site improvements are consistent with the design guidelines for areas designated as "Mixed Use Employment Centre" in the City of Colwood Official Community Plan (Bylaw No. 1700).
4. This Development Permit is $\underline{\text { NOT }}$ a building permit or a subdivision approval.
5. If the Permittee does not substantially start the construction permitted by this Permit within 24 months of the date of this Permit, the Permit shall lapse and be of no further force and effect.
6. The Director of Development Services may approve minor variations to the schedules attached to and forming part of this Development Permit, provided that such minor variations are consistent with the overall intent of the original plans and do not alter the form and character of the development authorized by those plans.
7. This Development Permit authorizes the construction of two commercial buildings and car wash along with any associated site works. The Lands shall not be altered, nor any buildings or structures constructed, except in accordance with the following conditions:

## GENERAL

7.1. This Permit shall not be construed as relieving the Permittee from compliance with any of the requirements contained within the Section 219 covenant registered as "CB546669."

## Traffic Impact Assessment

7.2. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Engineering department must provide final acceptance of the Traffic Impact Assessment for the proposed development on the Lands.

## FORM AND CHARACTER CONDITIONS

## Building Features

7.3. The form and character of the buildings to be constructed on the Lands shall conform to the Architectural Drawings prepared by JM Architecture Inc. (Schedule 1).
7.4. The site lighting shall be in accordance with the lighting details included in the Lighting Plan prepared by JM Architecture Inc. (Schedule 2).
7.5. No future construction/installation of unenclosed or enclosed outdoor storage areas, and recycling/refuse collection and storage areas shall be undertaken without the issuance of a further Development Permit or amendment to this Permit.
7.6. All mechanical roof elements, including mechanical equipment, elevator housings, and vents shall be visually screened with sloped roofs or parapets, or other forms of solid screening to the satisfaction of the Director of Development Services.

## Signage

7.7. Any proposed signage shall be in accordance with the details provided in the Signage Plan prepared by JM Architecture Inc. (Drawing A-501 of Schedule 1).

## Landscaping

7.8. The design and construction of the proposed landscaping shall be in accordance with the Landscape Plan prepared by Donald V.S. Duncan BCSLA (Schedule 3). The landscaping in the northwest corner of the site (refer to notes in Schedule 3) is not subject to this development permit and has not been approved.
7.8.1.Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the Permittee shall work with the City of Colwood's Engineering and Development Services departments to determine landscaping for the northwest corner of the site. A revised Landscape Plan for the entire site shall then be submitted for the City's approval.
7.9. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, a security deposit in the amount of $110 \%$ of the landscape cost estimate must be submitted by the Permittee to the City of Colwood.
7.9.1. Upon receiving the City's approval of the revised Landscape Plan (see Section 7.8.1 of this Permit), the Permittee shall provide the City with a revised landscape cost estimate to reflect the landscaping works contemplated in the revised Landscape Plan, to the satisfaction of the Director of Development Services.
7.9.2.The security deposit (or a portion thereof) shall be returned two years after the City receives a signed statement of partial or substantial completion from a registered BCLSA landscape architect, to the satisfaction of the Director of Development Services.
7.10. Prior to the issuance of a building permit, provide the City with a written letter of engagement from a registered landscape architect agreeing to:
7.10.1. Supervise and install the landscape work in accordance with the approved landscape plan ; and,
7.10.2. Perform a final inspection and submit an inspection report to the City confirming substantial compliance with the approved landscape plan.

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

## Site Clearing

7.11.Clearing of the lot prior to issuance of a building permit shall be limited to the minimum area required for construction.

## Tree Management

7.12. This development permit authorizes the removal of 65 bylaw-protected trees on the site. Trees located on the Lands shall be retained in accordance with the Tree Management Plan (Schedule 4) and any recommendations contained therein.
7.13. Prior to any site clearing or land alteration, protective fencing as described in the Tree Management Plan must be installed to protect trees to be retained from any impacts from construction.
7.14. Protected trees are required to be replaced at a ratio of 2:1. As a condition of this Development Permit and prior to any site clearing or land alteration, a deposit of $\$ 500$ per protected tree to be removed is required as cash-in-lieu of replanting in accordance with the Colwood Urban Forest Bylaw (no. 1735).
7.15. Trees removed, where required, shall be under the observation of a Qualified Arborist. As a condition of this Development Permit and prior to any site clearing or land alteration, the Permittee shall provide a written undertaking from a certified Arborist agreeing to oversee tree removal on the site and provide a final inspection report to the City that confirms substantial compliance with the Tree Management Plan.

## PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

8. The following plans and specifications are attached to and form part of this permit:

Schedule 1 - Architectural Drawings prepared by JM Architecture Inc. dated April 14, 2023
Schedule 2 - Lighting Plan prepared by JM Architecture Inc. dated March 3, 2023
Schedule 3 - Landscape Plan prepared by Donald V.S. Duncan, BCSLA dated April 19, 2023
Schedule 4 - Tree Management Plan prepared by Scotty Tree and Arborist dated April 28, 2023

AUGUST
ISSUED ON THIS 21 DAY OF HHANE 2023.


YAZMIN HERNANDEZ, MCIP, RPP
DIRECTOR OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

## SCHEDULE 1

## SPEEDWASH COLWOOD

RE-ISSUED FOR DEVELOPMENT PERMIT
April 14, 2023
Colwood B.C. V9B 1X8
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PROPOSED 11M² EACH SIDE 5.50M² OF TWO SIDE FREE STANDING SIGNAGE

PROPOSED FACIA SIGN LOCATION SIZE AS PER TABLE 1.0 FOR EACH CRU'S NOT TO BE LITE ABUTING RESIDENTIAL NEIGHBOUR


PROPOSED DIRECTIONAL SIGNS
d FACIA SIGN LOCATION





intersecting streets only one sign per lot is permitted.
One Facias sien or Conop size
(1) One facia sign
III One Time and Temperature Digital Sign forming part of one of
the above signs
the above siins
iv
One Maruee s
IV One Marquee Sign per business.
$\checkmark$ One Directional Sign per entrance and ex
VI One Notice Board Sign or One Sandwich Board Sign per

| $\substack{\text { business. } \\ \text { vil } \\ \text { Window signs }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |

b) FFree Standing sigig and Projecting sign


(11 Where the eross floor area of building on the lot is moret than

III No sign shall exceed a height of $6 m$ (19.7 tr.)

IV No is ign or part thereof shall be located within $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft}$. ) of

Shall not bea separate independents igin and shall be physicaly
integrated into the sign reea of a s ign permitted by this bylaw.
d) Facia sign
metres (6.5s 5 q. ft) for each mevere ( 3.3 .t.) of horizontal buididing
${ }^{\text {foce. }}$
${ }^{\|}{ }^{1 /}$ The height of s sign shall not exceed 1.2 metres 44 ft )
"" No sign shall be located on a building wall facing an interior, sit
multi-family residential or institutional zone.
$\frac{\text { e Canopy Sign }}{1 \text { Totat sign reea of all Canopy signn shall not exceed } 6 \text { square }}$
metres ( 6.5 Sq. (t.) for each metre $(3.3$ t.t) of horizontal buildin

" The height of sign shall not exceed $1.2 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{ft})$ )
IV No sign shall be located on a builiding wall facing an interior, sita
${ }^{\text {Whall Sign }}$
$\frac{1}{1}$ Wallign

upon which the sigig is pai ite

Marquee Sign

h Doirectiona Sign
$\frac{1 \text { Directional } \text { Sign }}{\text { The } \text { ign area shall not exceed. } 9 \text { square metres }(9.7 \text {. } \text { sq. ft.) per }}$
sign
$\frac{1 \text { Notice Board Sign and Sandwich Board sign }}{\text { Sol }}$


| w 60 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12 SIGN DISTRICTD |  |
| a I On each lot in Sign District D the following signs shall be permitted |  |
|  | One Free Standing Sign or one Projecting Sign adjacent to each street abutting a lot, except that on lots bounded by two intersecting streets only one sign per lot is permitted. <br> One Facia Sign or Canopy Sign or Wall Sign per business per building face <br> One Time and Temperature Digital Sign forming part of one of the above signs <br> One Marquee Sign per business. <br> One Directional Sign per entrance and exit <br> One Notice Board Sign or One Sandwich Board Sign per business. <br> Window signs |
| b\|Free Standing Sign and Projecting Sign |  |
|  | Where the gross floor area of buildings on the lot is 1,500 square metres ( 16,147 square feet) or less, the sign area of each sided or 11 square metres ( 118 square feet) if multi-sided) |
|  | Where the gross floor area of buildings on the lot is more than 1,500 square metres $\{16,147$ square feet), the sign area of each sign shall not exceed 7.5 square metres ( 80 square feet) if one. sided or 15 qquare metres (160 square feet) if multi-sdided. |
|  |  |
|  | No sign or part thereof shall be located within $1.5 \mathrm{~m}(4.9 \mathrm{ft}$.) of any lot boundary with another lot |
| c\| Time \& Temperature Digital Sign |  |
|  | Shall not be a separate independent sign and shall be physically integrated into the sign area of a sign permitted by this Eylaw. |
| ia sign |  |
|  | Total sign area for all Facia Signs shall not exceed .6 square metres ( $6.5 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$ ) for each metre ( 3.3 ft ) of horizontal building face. <br> The height of a sign shall not exceed 1.2 metres ( 4 ft ). <br> No sign shall be located on a building wall facing an interior, sid or rear lot line of an abutting property which is in a residential, multi-family residential or institutional zone. |
| e ${ }^{\text {canopy Sizn }}$ |  |
|  | Total sign area of all Canopy signs shall not exceed .6 square metres $(6.5 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$.) for each metre ( 3.3 ft .) or horizontal building face. <br> If overhanging an entrance, the sign shall have a minimum clearance of $2.5 \mathrm{~m}(8.2 \mathrm{ft}$ ) <br> The height of a sign shall not exceed $1.2 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{ft}$.) No sign shall be located on a building wall facing an interior, sid zone. |
|  |  |
|  | Total sign area for all Wall Signs shall not exceed 20 percent of the total exposed area of the bulling face, including openings, upon which the sign is pal nted <br> No sign shall be located on a building wall facing an interior sid zone. |
| E] Marques $\mathrm{Sig}^{\text {g }}$ |  |
|  | The sign area shall not exceed 1.0 square metres ( 10.8 sq. ft.). No part of Directional Sign the sign shall be less than $2.5 \mathrm{~m}(8.2$ ft.) above the finished grade |
| onal sien |  |
| sign |  |
| i Notice Board Sign and Sandwich Board Sign |  |
|  |  |
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## SCHEDULE 4

## SCOTTYTREE \& ARBORIST

Scotty Tree \& Arborist Service Ltd.

## Tree Assessment and Development Report

Prepared for Speedwash, 2353 and 2361 Sook Road, Colwood BC. SUBMITTED
28 April, 2023


Scott Mitchell
ISA Arborist PN-6138-A
Hazard Tree Assessor CTRA 309
TRAQ certified
250-220-9298

To whom it may concern,
By request, Scotty Tree \& Arborist Service Ltd has assessed the trees identified for retention on the properties of 2353 and 2361 Sook Road, Colwood BC for a development proposal.

## Executive Summary:

The proposal combines two properties for a commercial development. As most of the combined lots will be excavated, almost all of the trees will be removed. 30 trees were tagged and assessed for retention potential. Most of these trees are not suitable for retention due the encroachment of the excavations into critical and structural rooting zones. Due to borderline retention potential of these trees, several have been identified as to be determined post excavations. Previously, the trees on the two lots were catalogued by surveyors. That catalogue will be included for the total tree removal count.

Tree impact summary table for trees assessed for retention and municipal trees.

| Tree status | Total | retained | removed | planted |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assessed on site bylaw protected | 25 | 5 | $10+10$ TBD | 0 |
| Assessed on site non-bylaw protected | 5 | 2 | $1+2$ TBD | 0 |
| Municipal trees | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Previously surveyed for removal <br> bylaw (not assessed by Scotty Tree) | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 |
| Previously surveyed for removal non- <br> bylaw (not assessed by Scotty Tree) | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| total | 104 | 7 | $85+12$ TBD | 0 |

See tree inventory table for complete tree summary.

## Introduction:

Scotty tree was contracted to assess the trees on this property to determine the viability of retaining trees that were on the borders of the development. This report will also list the municipal trees being removed for the project. The assessments of trees were limited to trees that had potential to be retained.

## Methodology:

Detailed analysis of the proposed development plan was used to inform analysis of the trees, particularly in terms of the anticipated excavations. Scotty Tree used standard arboricultural observation and physical examinations to determine tree health and confirm rooting areas. Soil analysis was conducted by physical exploration. Consultation with the leading reference book (Trees and Development, Nelda Matheny and James R. Clark) was used to determine protected root zones and tree impacts for these properties.

## Observations and Discussion:

1. All municipal trees require removal:

Due to the project's road access and egress points as well as the widening of the road, all nine municipal trees require removal. See attached tree management plan.

## 2. SE corner tree retention:

With over excavation, the proposed driveway access will come within 3 m to 4 m of trees tagged \#7 through \#10: suitable for retention. Douglas fir trees \#11 $(23 \mathrm{~cm})$ and \#12 $(20 \mathrm{~cm})$ which deal well with development, are small enough the excavations within 1m may leave them suitable for retention. Likely tree 12 will not be suitable for retention due to structural root loss. See attached tree management site plan.

## 3. NE tree retention:

With over excavation, the proposed driveway access will come within 2 m to four 4 m of trees tagged \#29 through \#31: suitable for retention. Arbutus trees \#32 (67cm) and \#33 (47 and 38cm stems) are approaching 2 m from excavations which puts excavations on the edge of structural roots. Arbutus do not do well with development and are assessed to be marginal for retention. Assessments of structural compression roots will need to be made at the time of excavation. Assuming the trees are structurally sound post development, likely these trees will decline and require removal in the coming years.

Douglas fir tree \#34 ( 58 cm ) and cedar \#35 are back enough from excavations they should be retainable. Tree \#34 is losing compression roots on the lean side. This is much less structurally compromising then losing tension roots behind a lean. The cedar is in decline and it is expected the development stress will accelerate deterioration.

Douglas fir \#36 (52cm) is losing structural roots on the tension side and should be removed. See attached tree management site plan.

## 4. Middle side tree retention:

Two factors complicate tree retention in this area. Mainly, with the exception of the area near tree \#28 ( 85 cm Douglas fir), the excavations are dropping the grade up to 2 m . Also of note is the removal of the stand of trees will leave the retained edge trees very exposed to new wind forces. With homes adjacent these large trees the consequences of wind throw will likely be extreme.

Douglas firs \#16 (38cm) and \#20 (32cm) will also require removal if over excavations go beyond 1m.

Tree \#28 if retained it will require no excavations or machinery without arborist approval in a 6 m radius from its base. The area should be built up to the finished grade starting with 30 cm minus rock to facilitate air and water penetration into the intact rooting zone. Leverage reduction with wind firming on the newly exposed fir tree should be applied. See attached tree management site plan.

## Middle side tree retention continued:

If over excavation can't be limited to 30 cm , Arbutus trees \#18 $(15 \mathrm{~cm}), \# 19(43 \mathrm{~cm})$ and \#22 ( $\# 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) will require removal. If tree $\# 22$ can be retained, it will need leverage reduction due to the lean over a neighbouring home, and new exposure.

## 5. Municipal trees:

Nine municipal trees were identified for removal. The placement of the infrastructure leaves no capacity for retention. See attached tree management site plan.

## Conclusions:

The development proposal is challenging from a tree management perspective. Careful excavations will be critical to prevent unnecessary root damage to retained trees. Excavating machinery should pull soils away from trees, not laterally to root direction, to avoid shattering roots beyond the excavation line.

## Recommendations:

## 1. Limit over excavation to $\mathbf{3 0} \mathrm{cm}$ :

As many of the trees are marginal for retention, limiting over excavation to 30 cm will make the difference for retention/ removal. This is particularly notable for the area the grade has to be lowered. Bank stability will dictate how much over excavation is required in this area.

## 2. End weight leverage reduction on trees \#22 and \#28:

If these two trees are to be retained, they both will be exposed to previously unseen wind. Reducing the branch length on the arbutus will greatly aid in structural stability: for example, a 30 percent reduction in leverage results in 70 percent less sheer torque at the fulcrum point. The Douglas fir will increase its stability by thinning the upper crown and reducing its branch length. As Douglas fir is prone to shedding large limbs under normal conditions, reducing branch length will be important to mitigate the exposure problems. It may be prudent to reduce the height 2 to 3 meters with a heading cut under 10 cm to stunt the upward growth for a few years.

## 3. Inspection of excavations critical:

Arborist inspection of the excavations near the trees in question, before the areas are covered over, will be vital to determining the suitability for retention.

## 4. Protective fencing:

Note: This is the Colwood protective fence document guide for installation and signage.

## SCHEDULE "B" <br> TREE PROTECTION BARRIER REQUIREMENTS



## Notes

* Tree diameter at breast height (dbh) to be measured at 1.4 m from grade.
- Install the tree protection barrier before construction begins and keep in place until landscape construction is complete.
- Attach a $500 \mathrm{~mm} \times 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ sign with the following wording: WARNING - TREE PROTECTION AREA. This sign must be affixed on every fence face or at least every 10 linear metres.
- Storage of building materials and litter within, or against the tree protection barrier is prohibited. The developer/owner are responsible for the maintenance within the tree protection barrier
- Maintain existing grades at protection barrer for all protected and retained trees.
- Re-grading outside of the protection barrier should not adversely compromise protected, retained and existing trees

Link to Colwood tree bylaw:

## a. Establish a protective fence along the NE corner:

b. Establish a protective fence along SE garden bed:

A single continuous fence 30 cm from the surveyed proposed road edges will ensure as much as possible protection is afforded the trees from construction related damage. See attached tree management site plan.

## c. Middle side protection fence:

The final protection fence for this area will be determined post excavation. The fence(s) will be along the excavation, encompass the root zones and return to the property lines. If tree \#28 is to be retained, to stop compaction, a protection fence should be placed in a 6 m radius from the tree until such time the rock is moved in. The fence can then be moved to the road edge and root zone edges. See attached tree management site plan.

For further clarifications feel free to text 250-220-9298.
Sincerely,

## ScottMAtchall

Scott Mitchell
Submitted
28 April 2023
ISA Arborist, PN-6138-A, PNW CTRA 309, TRAQ certified
Pacific Northwest Hazard Tree Assessor, CTA 309
Scotty Tree \& Arborist Service
\#7 10075 Fifth St, Sidney BC, V8L-2X8

Tree Inventory Table for trees assessed for retention, including municipal trees:

| Tree ID | Species | Status | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DBH } \\ & \text { (cm) } \end{aligned}$ | PRZ * Factor | PRZ* <br> (m) <br> Radius | Canopy Spread (m) Dia | Health | Structural <br> Condition | Site specific <br> Construction <br> Tolerance | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Retain or: } \\ \text { removal - } \\ \text { Reason } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Remarks / <br> Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1 } \\ & 1150 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 56 | 12:1 | 6.7 | 6 | Moderate | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M2 } \\ & 1044 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 35 | 12:1 | 4.2 | 4 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M3 } \\ & 1041 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 25 | 12:1 | 3 | 4 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M4 } \\ & 1026 \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Municipal | 25 | 12:1 | 3 | 4 | Good | Good | Moderate | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M5 } \\ & 1025 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 40 | 12:1 | 4.8 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M6 } \\ & 1024 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 40 | 12:1 | 4.8 | 6 | Good | Moderate | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| M7 | Arbutus | Municipal | 40 | 12:1 | 4.8 | 4 | Good | Good | Moderate | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M8 } \\ & 1023 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 40 | 12:1 | 4.8 | 4 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M9 } \\ & 1022 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Municipal | 35 | 12:1 | 4.2 | 4 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| 7 | Douglas fir | NBL | 21 | 12:1 | $2 . .5$ | 3 | Good | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \\ & 1031 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | 23 | 12:1 | 2.6 | 2 | Good | Good | Moderate | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 9 \\ & 1032 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 53 | 12:1 | 6.4 | 7 | Good | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \\ & 1033 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 65 | 12:1 | 7.8 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11 \\ & 1036 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | NBL | 23 | 12:1 | 2.7 | 2 | Good | Good | Good | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 1035 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | NBL | 20 | 12:1 | 2.4 | 2 | Good | Good | Good | TBD |  |
| 13 | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 33 | 12:1 | 4.0 | 4 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| 14 | Douglas fir | NBL | 21 | 12:1 | 2.5 | 3 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 15 \\ & 1084 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 40 | 12:1 | 4.8 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |


| Tree ID | Species | Status | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { DBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | PRZ * Factor | PRZ* <br> (m) <br> Radius | Canopy Spread (m) Dia | Health | Structural Condition | Site specific Construction Tolerance | Retain or: removal Reason | Remarks / <br> Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 16 \\ & 1088 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 38 | 12:1 | 4.6 | 5 | Good | Good | Good | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 17 \\ & 1087 \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | 38 | 12:1 | 4.6 | 7 | Good | Good | Moderate | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18 \\ & 1089 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | 15 | 12:1 | 1.8 | 2 | Good | Good | Moderate | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 19 \\ & 1090 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | 43 | 12:1 | 5.1 | 5 | Good | Good | Moderate | TBD |  |
| 20 | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 32 | 12:1 | 3.8 | 3 | Good | Good | Good | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 21 \\ & 1096 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 70 | 12:1 | 8.4 | 8 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 22 \\ & 1100 \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | 75 | 12:1 | 9.2 | 10 | Good | Good | Moderate | TBD | Leaning |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 23 \\ & 1099 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 80 | 12:1 | 9.6 | 8 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 24 \\ & 1098 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 40 | 12:1 | 4.8 | 3 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 25 \\ & 1108 \end{aligned}$ | Cedar | Bylaw | 150 | 12:1 | 18 | 8 | Good | Good | Moderate | Remove excavations |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 26 \\ & 1111 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 80 | 12:1 | 9.6 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations | Uneven canopy |
| 27 | Dogwood | Bylaw | 25 | 12:1 | 3.0 | 3 | Good | Good | Good | Remove hazard | Mostly dead |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 28 \\ & 1133 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 85 | 12:1 | 10.2 | 12 | Good | Good | Good | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 29 \\ & 1166 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 52 | 12:1 | 6.2 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 30 \\ & 1164 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 48 | 12:1 | 5.8 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 31 \\ & 1165 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | NBL | 27 | 12:1 | 2.7 | 3 | Good | Good | Good | Retain |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \\ & 1163 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | 67 | 12:1 | 8.0 | 10 | Good | Good | Moderate | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 33 \\ & 1162 / 1161 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arbutus | Bylaw | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 85 / \\ & 60^{* *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 12:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10.2 / \\ & 7.2^{* *} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10 | Good | Good | Moderate | TBD |  |


| Tree ID | Species | Status | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | PRZ * <br> Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PRZ* } \\ & \text { (m) } \\ & \text { Radius } \end{aligned}$ | Canopy <br> Spread <br> (m) Dia | Health | Structural Condition | Site specific Construction Tolerance | Retain or: removal Reason | Remarks / <br> Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 34 \\ & 1159 \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 58 | 12:1 | 7.0 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | TBD |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 35 \\ & 1158 \end{aligned}$ | Cedar | Bylaw | 33 | 12:1 | 4.0 | 3 | Good | Good | Moderate | TBD | In decline |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 36 \\ & 1157 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douglas fir | Bylaw | 52 | 12:1 | 6.2 | 6 | Good | Good | Good | Remove excavations |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*PRZ - Protected Root Zone (12 to 1 for Good-Moderate construction tolerance \& healthy trees / 18 to 1 for Poor construction tolerance or poor health)
NBL $=$ Non-Bylaw protected tree. ${ }^{* *}$ - adjusted root zone for multi stem and or reduced canopy trees.

Tree survey table (trees assessed for retention and municipal trees blocked out):



## TREE MANAGEMENT SITE PLAN

MODIFIED FROM CLIENT PROVIDED SITE PLAN
For informational purposes only 28 April, 2023
Scotty Tree and Arborist \#7 10075 Fifth St Sidney, BC, V8L 2X8
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